UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/591,046	08/29/2006	Hiroki Matsuoka	960/218	6446	
23838 KENYON & K	7590 08/21/200 ENYON LLP	8	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE	TREET N.W.		NGUYEN, TU MINH		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3748		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/21/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/591,046	MATSUOKA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	TU M. NGUYEN	3748					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	ss				
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	HE REPLY FILED <u>24 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, whi with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3	ch places the 3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE c).	g date of the final rejection. E FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the a					
	out prior to the data of filing a briaf	will not be entered been					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (a) They are not deemed to place the application in both	nsideration and/or search (see NO¯ w);	ΓE below);					
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beth appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appear by materially rec	auding of simplifying the	issues ioi				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. $\  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PT	OL-324).				
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	-				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		i be entered and an exp	lanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 7-17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails t ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	o provide a				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	, , , , ,	condition for allowance	because:				
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Tu M. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3748					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As indicated on line 43 of column 6 to line 25 of column 7 of Schaller et al., a differential pressure sensor is used to determine when a retention time has expired by measuring a pressure difference across a filter. When the pressure difference decreases below a threshold value, which indicates that particulate matter is burning but there is still some amount remaining in the filter, they switch to a third phase of regeneration by intermittently injecting a fuel into the exhaust stream upstream of the filter. This is done to keep a regeneration temperature of the filter to within a predetermined range (i.e., to increase the regeneration temperature if the temperature is about to drop below a minimum level at which regeneration is deemed to be less efficient).